

Decrease in neuronal firing rate in the STN after microelectrode insertion during DBS surgery for Parkinson's disease

Introduction

Background

Characterization of electrophysiological recordings during deep brain stimulation (DBS) surgery is critical for anatomical targeting and is extensively utilized to investigate physiologic markers o Parkinson's Disease (PD).^{1,2} Transient improvement in clinica symptoms has been reported after microelectrode penetration, but the mechanism(s) underlying this improvement are not wel understood.³ DBS treatment leads to a suppression of the increased tonic firing rates of the Subthalamic Nucleus (STN) for PD.⁴ Thus we sought to determine the acute electrophysiologic effects c microelectrode penetration in the STN.

Methods

Patients

We obtained microelectrode recordings from six patients with advanced PD who underwent surgical implantation of bilateral DBS electrodes in the STN.

Microelectrode Recordings

Single- (SU) and multi-unit (MU) recordings were collected beginning at the entrance of the dorsal border of the STN as determined by electrophysiological criteria.^{5,6} These recordings were 8 seconds in duration and were obtained at 0.3mm steps unti the ventral border was reached (Figure 1). The microelectrode was then extracted in a step-wise fashion using 0.3mm-step increments to ensure repeat 8-second recordings obtained from the same anatomic positions. Microelectrode

Data Pre-processing and Analysis

Data was processed and analyzed in MATLAB 2020a using custom scripts and the Fieldtrip toolbox. Significance was determined using Wilcoxon signed-rank nonparametric tests. A p-< 0.05 deemed of was value statistically significant.



Figure 1 - Path of recordings (orange line represent direction of insertion; green line representing direct of extraction; red targets indicating record locations; not to scale).

Austin J.S. Clark, Ali Izadi, Jacqueline Overton, Kevin N O'Connor, Gene Gurkoff, Ignacio Saez, Kiarash Shahlaie Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

•										
tient	Age/Gender	Handedness	SX	x Domin	ant Side	Diseas	e duratio	on/yrs	Awake/Asleep	t
N 1	62M	RHD	Ri	ight (bila	ateral)	9			Awake	
N 2	46M	RHD	Ri	ght		9			Awake	
N 3	57F	LHD	Ri	ight		~20			Asleep	Le
N 4	65F	RHD	Ri	ght		5			Awake	
N 5	81M	RHD	Bi	lateral		8			Asleep	
N 6	53M	RHD	Ri	ight (bila	ateral)	9			Awake	
			Ν	Nethoc	ds (Cor	nt.)				
malize 1 pool	d by converting a ed for larger data	raw rates to a analysis.	% rate	betwee	n extrac	tion and	insertic	on recor	dings. All patient data	then was
malize n pool	at noise causing a d by converting ed for larger data ate Differences V	raw rates to a analysis. Vithin Patients	% rate	ning da betweei Re FR Median	n extrac Sults FR Median	ses. The tion and FR Median	insertic	Firing	dings. All patient data Rate Difference	then was s Fu
nalize n pool ng Ra	d by converting ed for larger data te Differences V	raw rates to a analysis.	Patient	ning da betweei Re FR Median (Left)	esults FR Median (Right)	ses. The tion and FR Median (Both)	insertic	Firing	Rate Difference	then was S Fu - L
ng Ra	d by converting ed for larger data ate Differences V	raw rates to a analysis. Vithin Patients	Patient STN 1	Re FR Median (Left) I : 107.1 E: 13 3	extrac Sults FR Median (Right) I: 83.3 E: 3.1	FR Median (Both) I: 91.8 E: 5 1	insertic	Firing	Rate Difference	then was S Fu - L - L
ng Ra	at noise causing a d by converting ed for larger data te Differences V	The provide raw rates to a analysis. Vithin Patients	Patient STN 1 STN 2	ning da betweei Re FR Median (Left) I : 107.1 E: 13.3 I: 99.4 E: 10.1	n extrac Sults FR Median (Right) I: 83.3 E: 3.1 I: 88.9 E: 7.6	Ses. The tion andFR Median (Both)I: 91.8 E: 5.1I: 98.1 E: 9.3	NU firin insertic	Firing	Rate Difference	then was Fur
ng Ra	at noise causing a d by converting ed for larger data ate Differences V	Vithin Patients	Patient STN 2	Ining da between Re FR Median (Left) I: 107.1 E: 13.3 I: 99.4 E: 10.1 I: 3.6 E: 2.9	Italianaly n extrac Sults FR Median (Right) I: 83.3 E: 3.1 I: 88.9 E: 7.6 I: 8.6 E: 6	Ses. The tion and FR Median (Both) I: 91.8 E: 5.1 I: 98.1 E: 9.3 I: 6.3 E: 4.3	insertic	Firing -50-	Rate Difference	then was S Fu - L - L - C
ng Ra 100- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50-	at noise causing a d by converting ed for larger data ate Differences V	Vithin Patients	Patient STN 2 STN 3	Ining da betweel Re FR Median (Left) I: 107.1 E: 13.3 I: 99.4 E: 10.1 I: 3.6 E: 2.9 I: 57.4 E: 6	Italianality n extrac Sults FR Median (Right) I: 83.3 E: 3.1 I: 88.9 E: 7.6 I: 8.6 E: 6 I: 14.8 E: 0.9	Ses. The tion and FR Median (Both) I: 91.8 E: 5.1 I: 98.1 E: 9.3 I: 6.3 E: 4.3 I: 18.3 E: 2.6	ert Change (%)	Firing	Rate Difference	then was S Fu - L - L - C - C - M - C
malize n pool ng Ra 100- 50- 50- -50- -50- -100-	At noise causing a d by converting ed for larger data ate Differences V	Vithin Patients	Perform % rate Patient STN 1 STN 2 STN 3 STN 4 STN 5	ning da betwee Re FR Median (Left) I: 107.1 E: 13.3 I: 99.4 E: 10.1 I: 3.6 E: 2.9 I: 57.4 E: 6 I: 7.3 E: 1.9	Italian n extrac Sults FR Median (Right) I: 83.3 E: 3.1 I: 88.9 E: 7.6 I: 8.6 E: 6 I: 14.8 E: 0.9 I: 36.3 E: 5.7	Ses. The tion and FR Median (Both) I: 91.8 E: 5.1 I: 98.1 E: 5.1 I: 98.1 E: 9.3 I: 6.3 E: 4.3 I: 18.3 E: 2.6 I: 17.4 E: 3.1	Lecent Change (%)	Firing	Rate Difference	then was Fu - L - L - C - M - M - M - M
ng Ra 100- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50- 50-	at noise causing a d by converting ed for larger data ate Differences V	Vithin Patients	Perform % rate Patient STN 1 STN 2 STN 3 STN 4 STN 5 STN 6	Ining Ga betwee Re FR Median (Left) I: 107.1 E: 13.3 I: 99.4 E: 10.1 I: 3.6 E: 2.9 I: 57.4 E: 6 I: 7.3 E: 1.9 I: 134.8 E: 5.9	Italiany n extrac Sults FR Median (Right) I: 83.3 E: 3.1 I: 88.9 E: 7.6 I: 88.9 E: 7.6 I: 88.9 E: 7.6 I: 14.8 E: 0.9 I: 14.8 E: 0.9 I: 36.3 E: 5.7 I: 138.3 E: 8.3	Ses. The tion and FR Median (Both) I: 91.8 E: 5.1 I: 98.1 E: 9.3 I: 6.3 E: 4.3 I: 18.3 E: 2.6 I: 17.4 E: 3.1 I: 138.3 E: 7.1	Fercent Change (%)	Firing	Rate Difference	then was Fu - L - L - L - C - C - M - C - S

<u>extraction (E) of the microelectrod</u>



Summary of Results

ing Rate Data

nt decrease in firing rate during extraction compared ion of microelectrode (5.9 vs. 55.9 spikes/second) significant at p<0.0001 (One-sample Wilcoxon signedest; n=242)

sphere vs Right Hemisphere

ificant difference between left and right hemisphere = 0.36), thus combined for overall analysis

Conclusions & Future Directions

an effect of microelectrode penetration on the singlenit activity in electrophysiologic recordings of the STN:

represent an electrophysiologic effect produced by a from penetration

- be related to electrophysiologic changes from alamotomies
- ver, unable to determine if only a transient effect idies
- ample size to verify results
- ecording times to determine transience vs permanence

term recordings from implantable electrode

- ain locations to expand generalizability
- with clinical outcomes for potential predictive

Id correlational analyses

References

Koudsie A, Pollak P, Krack P, Benabid AL. Intraoperative microrecordings of the subthalamic nucleus in Parkinson's 2002;17 Suppl 3:S145-9

ns ZM, Cosgrove GR, Eskandar EN. Experience with microelectrode guided subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation 58(1 Suppl):ONS96-102; discussion ONS96-

Garvan CW, Fernandez HH, Jacobson CEt, Rodriguez RL, et al. Brain penetration effects of microelectrodes and DBS Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2009;80(7):794-7.

2019). "Subthalamic suppression defines therapeutic threshold of deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease." J sychiatry 90(10): 1105-1108.

J, Elben S, Hartmann CJ, Vesper J, Wojtecki L, et al. High-frequency oscillations in Parkinson's disease: spatial ical relevance. Mov Disord. 2014;29(10):1265-72.

Lungu C, Zaghloul KA. Beta-coupled high-frequency activity and beta-locked neuronal spiking in the subthalamic nucleus of Parkinson's disease. J Neurosci. 2014;34(38):12816-27.